

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/631,327                                | 07/30/2003      | Eitan Rosen          | MP0280 1395             |                  |  |
| 26200                                     | 7590 06/22/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|   | CHARDSON P.C.   | CHEN, TSE W          |                         |                  |  |
| P.O BOX 1022<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-102 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   | <b>,</b>        |                      | 2116                    |                  |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application   | on No.  | Applicant(s)  |    |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|----|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/631,33   | 27  | ROSEN, EITAN  |    |  |  |
|   |  | Examine   |   | Art Unit  |    |  |  |
|   |  | Tse Chen  |   | 2116  |    |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communica<br>or Reply   | tion appears on the   | cover sheet with the c  | correspondence addre  | ss |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>. Any r   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | LING DATE OF TH<br>37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication.<br>ory period will apply and w<br>I, by statute, cause the app | HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this commi<br>D (35 U.S.C.§ 133). |    |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 1)[🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed   | on <i>30 July 200</i> 3.  |   |   |    |  |  |
| • —   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| ′=  | ,—   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| ,—  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 4) 🖂  | Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the app  | olication.  |   |   |    |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 5) 🗌  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 6) 🗌  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 8)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction  | and/or election red   | quirement.  |   |    |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 9)  | The specification is objected to by the E  | Examiner.   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                                  |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Priority (  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:                              |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| " 3   | see the attached detailed Office action i  | or a list of the cert   | ned copies not receive  | eu.   |    |  |  |
|   |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)   |   |   |   |    |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  |   | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)   |    |  |  |
|   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT   |   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F   | ate<br>Patent Application (PTO-15   | 2) |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:   |  |   |   |   |    |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/631,327

Art Unit: 2116

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15 and 23-37, drawn to a circuit comprising a driver including a resistance to drive a voltage of the clock bus, classified in class 713, subclass 600.
  - II. Claims 16-22, drawn to a data transfer method comprising determining that the voltage of the clock bus is equal to the first voltage and driving the clock bus to a second voltage different than the first voltage, classified in class 713, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed in invention II can be practiced by a circuit sans a first resistance between the clock bus and a voltage Vdd and a second resistance between the clock bus and ground, an enabling circuitry that includes a flip flop to enable/disable the driver when there's no clock signals, and a multiple clock transmitter/receiver configuration; while the circuit as claimed in invention I can be used to practice a process that does not determine the voltage of the clock bus to be equal to the first voltage before driving the clock bus to a second voltage different than the first voltage.

Page 2

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,327

Art Unit: 2116

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tse Chen June 19, 2006 LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100